UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

SHEILA RUDOLPH,)	
	Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	1:11-cv-1164-JMS-DKL
ROBERT RIFKIN,)	
	Defendant.)	

Entry and Order Directing Dismissal of Action

This is an action for legal malpractice. The plaintiff was informed of this court's limited jurisdiction and given an opportunity to supplement her complaint by alleging a basis for the exercise of that jurisdiction either through the existence of a federal question or based on the existence of complete diversity of citizenship.

The plaintiff has supplemented her complaint and in doing so has expanded on her claim, but her supplement does not identify or even purport to identify a basis for the exercise of this court's jurisdiction. The court discerns no basis from the complaint or the supplement on which its jurisdiction could be exercised. The only proper course of action in these circumstances is to dismiss the action for lack of jurisdiction. See Steel Co. v. Citizens for a Better Environment, 523 U.S. 83, 94, 118 S.Ct. 1003, 140 L.Ed.2d 210 (1998) (quoting Ex parte McCardle, 74 U.S. (7 Wall.) 506, 514, 19 L.Ed. 264 (1868).

Judgment consistent with this Entry shall now issue.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: ______11/09/2011

Hon. Jane Magnus-Stinson, Judge United States District Court Southern District of Indiana